

WEDI ICD-10 Crosswalk SWG Charter	
WG/SWG Topic(s):	ICD-10-CM and ICD-10-PCS Crosswalks
WG/SWG Lead(s) (Name, Email, Phone):	Paul DeCrosta, paul.decrosta@bcbsa.com Joe Nichols, jnichols@vips.com Kristine Weinberger, Kristine.weinberger@acs-inc.com
Primary List Serv Address	WEDI-ICD10_Crosswalks@lists.wedi.org
WG/SWG Scope of Work	
What is in scope? Provide the topic and definition of the topic.	The scope of work includes: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. General Education Content Development on General Equivalency Maps (GEM) and Reimbursement Maps – what their differences are, what their potential uses are 2. GEM for ICD-10-CM and ICD-10-PCS –imperfections, pros, cons, appropriate and inappropriate uses 3. Reimbursement Maps for ICD-10-CM and ICD-10-PCS –imperfections, pros, cons, appropriate and inappropriate uses 4. Other Crosswalks (e.g. SNOMED-CT, LOINC) – are they needed, who should develop them, what are the appropriate uses? 5. Downstream Use of GEM/Reimbursement Maps/Other Crosswalks for other purposes – identify these scenarios and potential problems (E.g. translation of historical data for analysis or for processing current claims against limitations, disease management, preventable admissions, disease surveillance, bio-surveillance, use in EHRs, internal uses, external uses, etc.) 6. Advantages and disadvantages to cross-walking directionally 7. ICD-10-CM code set imperfections – facilitate industry work to identify imperfections, submit change requests to the Coordination and Maintenance Committee 8. ICD-10-PCS code set imperfections – facilitate industry work to identify imperfections, submit change requests to the Coordination and Maintenance Committee 9. Code Set Terminology Changes – what are the terminology changes, what is the importance of understanding these changes, how do the terminology differences impact the crosswalks? 10. Mapping Quality – mapping will be imperfect in most cases. What is lost and what is assumed in translation that may not be true? How is mapping quality defined? What are the implications of imperfect mapping?
Identify any assumptions	The assumptions are: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Existing materials will be leveraged to the extent possible 2. White papers will reference existing documentation 3. Activities will be coordinated with other WEDI sub work groups when appropriate
What is out of scope?	The following is out of the scope of this workgroup: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Other sub work group activities 2. Detailed coding training programs

WG/SWG Purpose	
<p>What are the primary goals?</p>	<p>The primary and secondary goals of the workgroup are:</p> <p>Primary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Develop one or more white papers to assist the industry in understanding published ICD-10-CM and PCS Crosswalks and what the appropriate uses are. This includes the GEMs and Reimbursement Maps. Other crosswalks that are subsequently published will be considered for inclusion by the work group. 2. Coordinate clinical industry experts to identify imperfections in the code sets and to request changes to the code sets and related crosswalks. 3. Maintain an ongoing issues list and solutions identification based on industry feedback. <p>Secondary:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Become a key source for ideas, content of crosswalk education, and implementation information for the industry as it related to crosswalks for ICD-10 CM and PCS. 2. Identify the need for crosswalks to additional industry code sets. 3. Interface with national organizations to recommend crosswalks to other code sets
<p>What business problem will be minimized or resolved by this workgroup?</p>	<p>The efforts of this work group will result in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • reducing confusion and providing consistent and accurate information to the industry regarding ICD-10-CM and PCS Crosswalks • identification of potential issues related to crosswalks and development of strategies to mitigate these issues • improvement of the code sets (and related crosswalks) so stability can be established • Improvement in the quality of the mapping process.
<p>Stakeholders</p>	<p>The stakeholders are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • providers (including all types such as dental, institutional, vision, etc) • payers • clearinghouses • vendors • patients • other entities including government and non-government entities involved in healthcare that are impacted by ICD-10-CM and PCS
<p>Describe the central problem this project will attempt to resolve. What are some of the symptoms of this problem? Are there any corollary problems?</p>	<p>The central problem this project will attempt to resolve is the misunderstanding of what the crosswalks represent and what the potential crosswalk uses are. Corollary problems include the fact that the code sets have flaws and the crosswalks are very complex. Regardless of crosswalk accuracy, the inherent differences between the old and new code sets will result in imperfections in cross-walking.</p>
<p>Are there other regulatory or contractual obligations that might affect how this project is implemented?</p>	<p>ICD-10 is partially dependent upon the successful implementation of the HIPAA 005010/D.0/1.2 standards that were mandated in the new HIPAA transaction regulation. If the federal government makes a decision to modify transaction regulation or the ICD-10 regulation itself there could be significant impact to this project. The 'Meaningful use of Electronic Health Records' definition, the American Recover and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009, and healthcare reform could also impact this project.</p>

Objectives / Measures of Success / Deliverables <i>(template allows for 3 projects for a specific WG/SWG)</i>	
Primary 1:	Develop one or more white papers to assist the industry in understanding all published ICD-10-CM and PCS Crosswalks and what the appropriate uses are.
What outcomes do you expect to achieve?	The outcome of this objective is to develop an industry understanding of crosswalks, their pros and cons, appropriate uses, and potential issues.
Will there be a phased in approach?	There will be multiple phases to this portion of the project as multiple white papers will likely be developed to accomplish this objective.
What is the ideal outcome?	The ideal outcome is to create an educated stakeholder community that has the information necessary to make appropriate solution decisions, thus avoiding the realizations of problems too late in project implementations.
What is an acceptable outcome?	An acceptable outcome is to develop an industry awareness that leads to educated and careful decisions on the use of crosswalks in solutions.
How would you define success for this workgroup?	Success of this work group is realized when the group is recognized nationally as an authoritative source of useful and accurate information regarding the ICD-10-CM and PCS crosswalks, appropriate uses, and challenges.
What are the potential business impacts if the solution(s) is not implemented?	The potential business impacts, if this objective is not achieved, is that stakeholders could encounter problems during or after the implementation of crosswalks that were not foreseen, resulting in inaccurate processing of claims, inaccurate reporting of data, etc.
What are the current deliverables or outcomes that are expected and the completion dates for each deliverable?	The deliverables include White Papers to aid in industry understanding of the crosswalks, their pros and cons, and their appropriate uses. These White Papers will include reference to existing documentation possibly via the use of hyperlinks.
How much time or resources need to be committed to complete deliverables?	The length of time needed to produce the deliverables will depend upon the number of volunteers and the number of papers to be developed.
Primary 2:	Coordinate clinical industry experts to identify imperfections in the code sets and to request changes to the code sets.
What outcomes do you expect to achieve?	The outcome of this objective is to have a stable code set and related crosswalks that are accurate and usable by the industry.
Will there be a phased in approach?	Clinical Expert review of the ICD-10-CM and PCS code sets will result in changes requests to the code sets. This could include requests for code deletion, code addition, and code description changes. This will likely happen over a period of time. It is anticipated that the code sets will be updated annually. Due to the amount of review necessary, this project could encompass several years.
What is the ideal outcome?	The ideal outcome is to provide valuable input into the code maintenance process for all ICD-10-CM and PCS codes and impact improvement to the code sets and related crosswalks.
What is an acceptable outcome?	An acceptable outcome is to provide valuable input into the code maintenance process for as many sections of the ICD-10-CM and PCS code sets as we are able to identify volunteers for and to impact improvement to the code sets and related crosswalks in those sections.
How would you define success for this workgroup?	Success of this work group is realized when we have impacted improvement to the ICD-10-CM and PCS code sets.

What are the potential business impacts if the solution(s) is not implemented?	The potential business impacts, if this objective is not achieved, is that stakeholders could encounter problems during and after the implementation of the new code sets that could result in inaccurate reporting of data and inaccurate processing of claims.
What are the current deliverables or outcomes that are expected and the completion dates for each deliverable?	The deliverables under this objective include requests for changes to the code sets and related crosswalk. The targeted completion date will be influenced by the decision on if/when the code sets will be frozen.
How much time or resources need to be committed to complete deliverables?	Significant resources through volunteers and coordination with associations that have access to clinical experts are needed to complete these deliverables.
Project #3 Title:	
What outcomes do you expect to achieve? Will there be a phased in approach?	
What is the ideal outcome?	
What is an acceptable outcome?	
How would you define success for this workgroup?	
What are the potential business impacts if the solution(s) is not implemented?	
What are the current deliverables or outcomes that are expected and the completion dates for each deliverable?	
How much time or resources need to be committed to complete deliverables?	
Environmental Factors / Support Needs	
Are there other individuals/entities/work groups that need to provide input?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3M • American Hospital Association (AHA) • American Health Information Management Association (AHIMA) • American Medical Association (AMA) • Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) • ICD Coordination and Maintenance Committee • Medical Group Management Association (MGMA) • National Association for State Medicaid Directors (NASMD) • National Medicaid EDI Healthcare (NMEH) Workgroup
What tools does the workgroup need to acquire to ensure this project is successful?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WebEx • Access DB with I-10 descriptions and codes
Do you need additional administrative support?	

Do you need additional project management support?	
Do you need additional communication support?	Yes, we will need to coordinate heavily with the WEDI I-10 Education SWG. WEDI staff support for reminders, coordination, etc.
Additional Comments or Concerns	